```
Frank A. Silane (State Bar No.: 90940)
 1
     Scott D. Cunningham (State Bar No.: 200413)
 2
     CONDON & FORSYTH LLP
     1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 850
    Los Angeles, California 90067-6010
Telephone: (310) 557-2030
Facsimile: (310) 557-1299
Email: fsilane@condonlaw.com
 3
 4
     Email: scunningham@condonlaw.com
 5
 6
         - and -
    Marshall S. Turner (pro hac vice) CONDON & FORSYTH LLP
 7
    7 Times Square
New York, NY 10036
Telephone: (212) 490-9100
 8
 9
     Facsimile: (212) 370-4453
Email: mturner@condonlaw.com
10
11
     Attorneys for Plaintiff
     ALL NÍPPON AIRWAYS COMPANY, LTD.
12
        - and -
13
     Other counsel as listed on the signature page
14
15
                           UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
16
                        NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
17
     ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS COMPANY, )
                                                  Case No. C07-03422 EDL
     LTD.,
18
                                                   JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT
                  Plaintiff.
                                                   STATEMENT
19
           VS.
20
     UNITED AIR LINES, INC.,
21
                  Defendants.
22
     AND RELATED COUNTER-CLAIM
23
24
           Plaintiff ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS COMPANY, LTD. ("ANA") and
25
     defendant UNITED AIR LINES, INC. ("UAL") jointly submit their case
26
     management statement as follows:
27
           1.
                  Jurisdiction and Service
28
                  The parties assert that the Court's subject matter jurisdiction is based
     JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT
     CASE NO.: C 07 3422 EDL
```

Case 3:07-cv-03422-EDL Document 19 Filed 09/25/2007 Page 1 of 6

upon	28	U.S.C.	section	1332(a)	and	28	U.S.C.	section	1391.	No
partie	s re	main to	be serve	ed.						

2. Facts

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On October 7, 2003, a collision occurred while ANA Flight NH007 was taxiing at San Francisco International Airport. During the taxi of Flight NH007 and the pushback of UAL Flight UA809 by the UAL tow driver, the right wings of Flight NH007 and Flight UA809 collided. Both airplanes sustained substantial damage to their right wings.

3. Legal Issues

The essential issues in dispute are causation and the apportionment of liability, if any, between the parties.

4. Motions

At present, no motions are pending. ANA may file a motion for a protective order with respect to UAL's deposition notice and document request concerning the Standard Ground Handling ANA may also file a motion to dismiss certain Agreement. allegations and affirmative defenses from UAL's Answer and Counter-Complaint based on the Standard Ground Handling Agreement.

UAL anticipates filing a motion to compel ANA to produce witnesses in accordance with its September 12, 2007 deposition notice, including relative to a 30(b)(6) witness regarding the ground handling agreement and documents requested pertaining to same. Additionally, UAL anticipates filing a motion for a protective order relative to certain highly proprietary/sensitive documents prior to these being produced.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

5.	Amendment of Pleadings
	The parties do not anticipate adding any claims
6.	Evidence Preservation

The portion are in t

The parties are in the process of gathering evidence.

7. Disclosures

The parties are presently engaged in the process of exchanging initial disclosures and propounding their discovery.

or defenses.

8. Discovery

The parties have not stipulated to any discovery limits different from the Federal Rules. Depositions of both parties are being scheduled to commence before the end of 2007. There are potential out-of-state or out-of-country depositions of percipient witnesses.

9. Class Actions

This is not a class action case and the parties do not anticipate any class certification.

10. Related Cases

There are presently no related cases.

11. Relief

Both parties seek relief for the full cost of repair of their airplanes and damages resulting from the loss of use of the airplanes, as well as all interest accrued therewith.

12. Settlement and ADR

The parties have agreed to a private mediation and are in the process of scheduling a mediation.

13. Consent to Magistrate Judge for All Purposes

Both parties consent to have the magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings including trial and entry of judgment.

28 || /

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

14. Other References

This case is not suitable for reference to binding arbitration, a special master, or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

15. Narrowing of Issues

The parties believe that the issues in dispute may be narrowed at the completion of discovery.

16. Expedited Schedule

This is a case that cannot be handled on an expedited basis.

17. Scheduling

The parties request at least a nine months for discovery. The parties submit the following proposed trial and pretrial dates:

- 1. Fact discovery completed by July 31, 2008;
- 2. Expert witness disclosures and reports completed by September 30, 2008;
- 3. Rebuttal expert witness disclosures and reports completed by December 1, 2008;
- 4. Completion of all discovery by February 2, 2009;
- 5. Dispositive motions filed by March 2, 2009;
- 6. Trial date, May 4, 2009.

18. Trial

Plaintiff's Complaint and Defendant's Answer contains a request for a jury trial. The parties estimate that trial will take eight court days.

19. Disclosure of Non-Party Interested Entities or Persons

Each party has filed the "Certification of Interested Entities or Persons" required by Civil Local Rule 3-16.

[//

27 || /

28 || /

CONDON & FORSYTH LLP

20.	Other

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The parties agree that there are no other matters at this time that would assist the disposition of this matter.

Dated: September 25, 2007

CONDON & FORSYTH LLP

ank a. silanf

SCOTT D. CUNNINGHAM MARSHALL S. TURNER (pro hac

vice)

Attorneys for Plaintiff ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS COMPANY, LTD.

Dated: September 25, 2007

JAFFE RAITT HEUER & WEISS, P.C.

By:

SCOTT R. TORPEY Attorney for Defendant UNITED AIR LINES, INC.

1	filing a motion to compel relative
2	requests pertaining to the Standar
3	The parties agree that there
4	under Rule 16(c) at this time.
5	Scheduling Order should be enter
6	
7	
8	
9	Dated: September 25, 2007
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	D . 1 G . 1 05 0005
16	Dated: September 25, 2007
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	JOINT RULE 26(F) REPORT

CASE NO : C 07 3422 EDL

ive to its pending deposition notice and document ard Ground Handling Agreement. re are no orders that should be entered by the Court However, the parties agree that a Rule 16(b) ered by the Court at this time. CONDON & FORSY H LLP RANK A. SILANE
SCOTT D. CUNNINGHAM
MARSHALL S. TURNER (pro hac vice)
Attorneys for Plaintiff and CounterDefendant
ALL NURDON ALBUANG COMMAN. ĀLL NIPPON AIRWAYS COMPANY, LTD. HEUER & WEISS, P.C. JAFFE RAITZ Attorney for Defendant UNITED AIR LINES, INC.

..3.